

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/559,141	11/30/2005	Norimasa Fujimoto	5703-000013/US/NP	9542	
27572 HARNESS D	7590 03/17/2009 ICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 828			GAMI, TEJAL		
BLOOMFIEL	D HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2121		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/17/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/559,141	FUJIMOTO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
TEJAL J. GAMI	2121	

	TEJAL J. GAMI	2121					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 09 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of thi application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 4.1.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time							
periods: a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing							
b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1,136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee learning to the propriate attention of the contract o							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 							
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or 							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	·						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t	imely filed amendmer	it canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	vercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. 🔀 The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:							
See Continuation Sheet. 12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							
13. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet							
/Albert DeCady/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2121							

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claims as written are anticipated by the prior art cited in the previous office action. All the limitations as argued are met and addressed in the previous office action, dated 12/09/2008. Therefore, applicant's arguments are deemed not persuasive.

Continuation of 13. In response to Applicant's arguments the following are the Examiner's observations in regard thereto:

Applicant Argues: Each of Independent Claims 7 and 10 recite, in part. "an image-capturing means which is adapted to capture an image of a celestial object at a plurality of food distances." (emphasis added by In contrast, the "vision device 30" of McWilliams cited in this rejection as corresponding to this feature has a fixed focal distance. Thus, it is not capable of capturing an image at a plurality of focal distances are scried in these independent claims.

Examiner Responds: For the same reason as disclosed in applicant's specificion Paragraph (0035), the prior at "captures an image" to be compared with the database (see prior at Paragraph (10046)). Also see prior at Paragraph (10024) for automatic focus and zoom functions. Under such consideration, the prior art anticipates adaptability of capturing an image at a plurality of focal distances, as recited in independent claims 7 and 10.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not deemed persuasive. Examiner is entitled to give claim limitations their broadest reasonable interpretation in light of the specification. See MPEP 2111 [R-1] Interpretation of Claims-Broadest Reasonable Interpretation.